

Front Page	Edi Page	Other Page
---------------	-------------	---------------

SEP 10 1964

Date:

Letters

Foreign policy blasted

To the editor:

In a letter to the editor, Sept. 7, Mr. Teague said he shuddered to think of the possible alternatives to negotiating. I agree that negotiation in those troubled areas mentioned in Henry J. Taylor's article is a necessary part of the solution. What Mr. Teague did not mention is the will to win, a very necessary

part of a great nation's posture.

The Bay of Pigs invasion was an excellent example of the present administration's will to win. As planned by the Eisenhower administration the invasion of Cuba by the Cuban Freedom Fighters would have been successful. I refer Mr. Teague and any other interested persons to Readers Digest Sept.

1964 and the article "Decision for Disaster" by Dr. Mario Lazo. The key to that plan — called Operation Pluto — was the use of air power, which would have involved planes flown by free Cubans. The present administration, then under President Kennedy, refused to authorize the necessary air strikes as outlined in the plan. The original operation as designed by the CIA and Joint Chiefs of Staff would have resulted in a free Cuba. Instead, we have a Communist base for subversion and espionage.

Cuba is not only a base for Communist agitators, but a possible launch site for Soviet missiles. Part of the negotiated agreement after the missile crisis was the on-site inspection and removal of Soviet troops. These issues have been very quietly buried along with the Monroe Doctrine by the Democratic administration.

I shudder to think of the way this and other Democratic administrations have negotiated away the freedom of millions of people. This no win policy was exhibited at Yalta and Potsdam with the resultant surrender of the people of Eastern Europe to the Communists. I shudder at the thought of North Korea being turned over to the Communists, of Berlin and Germany remaining divided, and of Viet Nam negotiated to the Communists. Mr. Teague, I shudder at the thought of negotiating away our freedom because we lack the will to win.

This no win, coexistence policy has been espoused by the Americans for Democratic Action (ADA). The Democratic vice presidential candidate, Hubert H. Humphrey, has been vice chairman of the ADA for over 19 years. I challenge every reader of this newspaper to look into the aims and purposes of the ADA. I feel this should be a definite factor in the election of a vice president who may become president.

Yes, Mr. Teague, we have a choice, to negotiate through weakness with a no win policy, as practiced by the present Democratic administration or to negotiate through strength with the will to win. The choice is yours and mine—Nov. 3.

Dennis W. Murphy,

San Luis Obispo.